

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/016,839	12/13/2001	Charles M. Darcangelo	SP01-335 7486		
22928	7590 07/07/2003				
CORNING INCORPORATED			EXAMINER		
SP-TI-3-1 CORNING, NY 14831			DERRINGTON, JAMES H		
,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1731		
			DATE MAILED: 07/07/2003	2	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No). The state of th	Applicant(s)	
		10/016,839		DARCANGELO E	ET AL.
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit	
ě.		James Derring		1731	
The MAILING DATE of to Period for Reply	his communication appe	ears on the cove	er sheet with the c	orrespondence a	ddress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS - Extensions of time may be available undafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing of the period for reply specified above is left NO period for reply is specified above, Failure to reply within the set or extended. - Any reply received by the Office later that earned patent term adjustment. See 37 of Status	COMMUNICATION. er the provisions of 37 CFR 1.130 date of this communication. ess than thirty (30) days, a reply the maximum statutory period will be period for reply will, by statute, in three months after the mailing of	6(a). In no event, how within the statutory m Il apply and will expire cause the application	vever, may a reply be tim inimum of thirty (30) days s SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this of	ely. communication.
1)☐ Responsive to commun	ication(s) filed on				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This	– s action is non-	final.		
3) Since this application is	in condition for allowar	nce except for f	ormal matters, pr	osecution as to t	he merits is
closed in accordance w Disposition of Claims	ith the practice under E	x parte Quayle	, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pen	ding in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s)			ration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are all					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejec	cted.				
7) Claim(s) is/are ob	jected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subje	ect to restriction and/or	election require	ement.		
Application Papers					
9) The specification is object	·				
10) The drawing(s) filed on			-		
Applicant may not request				• •	
11) The proposed drawing co				ved by the Examin	ier.
If approved, corrected dra 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is	•	•	xion.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 a		miler.			
13) Acknowledgment is made		nriority under 3	5119 C & 110/a)	(d) or (f)	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐		priority under 5	5 6.6.6. g 119(a)	-(u) or (i).	
1. Certified copies of		have been rece	eived		
2. Certified copies of				on No.	
	ied copies of the priorit				Stage
	n the International Bure	eau (PCT Rule	17.2(a)).		Clago
14) Acknowledgment is made	of a claim for domestic	priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(e)) (to a provisiona	l application).
a) ☐ The translation of the15)☐ Acknowledgment is made					
Attachment(s)		·	•		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawi 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (ing Review (PTO-948)	4)		(PTO-413) Paper No atent Application (PT	
.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office Action	on Summary	F	Part of Paper No. 3	

. Art Unit: 1731

Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The recitation "extracting the individual lenses from the slices" in each of independent claims 1, 18 and 19 is confusing. The wording implies that the slices are further divided into lenses; however, a manipulative step for performing this addition subdivision is not recited. If an additional dividing step is contemplated, then the claims should be amended accordingly. Correction and or clarification is requested.

Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for assembling a plurality of glass rods in side by side configuration, does not reasonably provide enablement for assembling a plurality of glass rods in end to end configuration. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. The figures depict a plurality of glass rods assembled in side by side configuration and and cutting the assembly into slices. The specification does not set forth how this process could be performed when the glass rods are assembled in end to end fashion. The claims should be amended accordingly.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James Derrington whose telephone number is 703 308-3832. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am - 5pm.

- Art Unit: 1731

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven Griffin can be reached on 703 308-1164. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703 305-7718 for regular communications and 703 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308 0661.

jd June 30, 2003

JAMES DERRINGTON PRIMARY EXAMINER

ART UNIT 137 173/